



POWERUP
WHAT WORKS

powerupwhatworks.org

Bibliography

Research on the Technology Implementation Process

- Aaron, M. (2005). Swinging from a tree: Can the process of technological integration be improved using Fault Tree Analysis? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 36(6), 1051–1054.
- Abbitt, J. T. (2011). An investigation of the relationship between self-efficacy beliefs about technology integration and Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) among preservice teachers. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 27(4), 134–144.
- Adelman, H. S., & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 14(1), 1–26.
- Agnew, D. W. (2011). *Administrative obstacles to technology use in West Virginia public schools: A survey of West Virginia principals*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV.
- Albee, J. J. (2003). A study of preservice elementary teachers' technology skill preparedness and examples of how it can be increased. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 11(1), 53–71.
- Amirian, S. (2007). Digital backpacks: Facilitating faculty implementation of technologies for teaching and learning. *Computers in the Schools*, 24(1/2), 5–14.
- An, Y., & Reigeluth, C. (2011–12). Creating technology-enhanced, learner-centered classrooms: K–12 teachers' beliefs, perceptions, barriers, and support needs. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 28(2), 54–62.
- Anderson, R. E., & Dexter, S. (2000). *School technology leadership: Incidence and impact*. Center for Research on Information Technology and Organizations, University of California, Irvine, and University of Minnesota. Retrieved from http://www.crito.uci.edu/tlc/findings/report_6/
- Anderson, R. E., & Dexter, S. (2005). School technology leadership: An empirical investigation of prevalence and impact. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 41, 49–82.
- Angeli, C. (2005). Transforming a teacher education method course through technology: Effects on preservice teachers' technology competency. *Computers and Education*, 45(4), 383–398.
- Angrist, J., & Lavy, V. (2002). New evidence on classroom computers and pupil learning. *The Economic Journal*, 112, 735–765.
- Anthony, A. B. (2012). Activity theory as a framework for investigating district-classroom system interactions and their influences on technology integration. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 44(4), 335–356.
- Arthur, W., Bennett, W., Edens, P. S., & Bell, S. T. (2003). Effectiveness of training in organizations: A meta-analysis of design and evaluation features. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(2), 234–245.

- ASCD. (2012). *Fulfilling the promise of the Common Core State Standards: Moving from adoption to implementation to sustainability*. Retrieved from <http://educore.ascd.org/resource/Download/1d60f46d-b786-41d1-b059-95a7c4eda420>
- Bakia, M., Mitchell, K., & Yang, E. (2007). *State strategies and practices for educational technology: Volume I—Examining the Enhancing Education through Technology program*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development.
- Barab, S., & Dede, C. (2007). Games and immersive participatory simulations for science education: An emerging type of curricula. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 16(1), 1–3.
- Barnett, H. (2002, May 1). How to guarantee a learning return on your technology investment. *eSchool News*, pp. 1–5.
- Barnette, E. J. (2003). The role of technology teachers in ensuring standards-based programs. *Technology Teacher*, 62(7), 32–35.
- Barron, A. E., Dawson, K., & Yendol-Hoppey, D. (2009). Peer coaching and technology integration: An evaluation of the Microsoft peer coaching program. *Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning*, 17(10), 83–102.
- Barron, A. E., Ivers, K. S., Lilavois, N., & Wells, J. A. (2006). *Technology for education: A practical guide* (5th ed.). Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited.
- Barron, A. E., Kemker, K., Harmes, C., & Kalaydjian, K. (2003). Large-scale research study on technology in K–12 schools: Technology integration as it relates to the national technology standards. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 35(4), 489–507.
- Barron, B., Cayton-Hodges, G., Bofferding, L., Copple, C., Darling-Hammond, L., & Levine, M. (2011). *Take a giant step: A blueprint for teaching young children in a digital age*. New York: The Joan Ganz Cooney Center at Sesame Workshop and Stanford, CA: Stanford University.
- Bauer, J., & Kenton, J. (2005). Toward technology integration in the schools: Why it isn't happening. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 13(4), 519–546.
- Baylor, A. L. (2002). Expanding preservice teachers' metacognitive awareness of instructional planning through pedagogical agents. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(2), 5–22.
- Baylor, A. L., & Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms? *Computers and Education*, 39(4), 395–414.
- Beach, R. H., & Lindahl, R. A. (2000). New standards for the preparation of school administrators: What conceptualization of educational planning do they portray? *Planning and Changing*, 31(1–2), 35–52.
- Beach, R. H., & Lindahl, R. (2004). Identifying the knowledge base for school improvement. *Planning and Changing*, 35, 2–32.
- Bebell, D., & Kay, R. (2010). One to one computing: A summary of the quantitative results from the Berkshire Wireless Learning Initiative. *The Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment*, 9(2), 1–59.

- Becker, H. (2000). Who's wired and who's not: Children's access to and use of computer technology. *The Future of Children*, 10(2), 44–75.
- Bennett, L. (2000). Technology standards for the preparation of teachers. *International Journal of Social Education*, 15(2), 1–11.
- Bennett, L. (2005). Guidelines for using technology in the social studies classroom. *Social Studies*, 96(1), 38–44.
- Biehle, J. (2006). A five-step approach to planning for technology in new science spaces. *Science Scope*, 30(1), 58.
- Bierman, K. L., Coie, J. D., Dodge, K. A., Greenberg, M. T., Lochman, J. E., McMahon, R. J., et al. (2002). The implementation of the Fast Track Program: An example of a large-scale prevention science efficacy trial. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 30(1), 1–17.
- Bingimlas, K. (2009). Barriers to the successful integration of ICT in teaching and learning environments: A review of the literature. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 5(3), 235–245.
- Bitner, N., & Bitner, J. (2002). Integrating technology into the classroom: Eight keys to success. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 10(1), 95–100.
- Bitter, G. G., & Lagacy, J. M. (2008). *Using technology in the classroom* (7th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Bond, G. R., Becker, D. R., Drake, R. E., Rapp, C. A., Meisler, N., Lehman, A. F., et al. (2001). Implementing supported employment as an evidence-based practice. *Psychiatric Services*, 52(3), 313–322.
- Borkovec, T. D., Echemendia, R. J., Ragusea, S. A., & Ruiz, M. (2001). The Pennsylvania Practice Research Network and future possibilities for clinically meaningful and scientifically rigorous psychotherapy effectiveness research. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8(2), 155–167.
- Bory-Adams, A. (2006). UNESCO's role, vision and challenges for the UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005–2014). *CONNECT: UNESCO International Science, Technology and Environmental Education Newsletter*, 31(1/2), 1–5.
- Boss, S. (Ed.) (2008). Technology integration: What experts say. *Edutopia*. Retrieved from <http://www.edutopia.org/technology-integration-experts>
- Bowman, D. (2004). Thinking through the technology puzzle. *From Now On: The Educational Technology Journal*, 14(1). Retrieved from <http://www.fno.org/oct04/integrating.html>
- Braat, C. J. (2010). Perceptions of two educational technology standards: A case study of an Ohio urban K–12 school district. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 71(2–A), 536.
- Brown, D., & Warschauer, M. (2006). From the university to the elementary classroom: Students' experiences in learning to integrate technology in instruction. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 14(3), 599–621.
- Brunello, P. (2010). ICT for education projects: A look from behind the scenes. *Information Technology for Development*, 16(3), 232–239.

- Bubb, S., & Earley, P. (2009). Leading staff development for school improvement. *School Leadership & Management, 29*(1), 23–37.
- Buston, K., Wight, D., Hart, G., & Scott, S. (2002). Implementation of a teacher-delivered sex education programme: Obstacles and facilitating factors. *Health Education Research, 17*(1), 59–72.
- Buzhardt, J., Greenwood, C., Abbott, M., & Tapia, Y. (2006). Research on scaling up evidence-based instructional practice: Developing a sensitive measure of the rate of implementation. *Educational Technology Research & Development, 54*(5), 467–492.
- Byrom, E., & Bingham, M. (2001). *Factors influencing the effective use of technology for teaching and learning: Lessons learned from SEIR*TEC intensive site schools* (2nd ed.). Greensboro, NC: SERVE Center.
- Callan, M. B. (2010). Sustained organizational learning for comprehensive school reform: A sensemaking perspective. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences, 70*(7–A).
- Campuzano, L., Dynarski, M., Agodini, R., & Rall, K. (2009). *Effectiveness of reading and mathematics software products*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Carr, T. (2011). *An examination of leadership styles in implementing instructional technology: A case study to examine the elementary school principal perspective* (Doctoral dissertation). Northcentral University [online institution].
- Casey, H., & Rakes, G. (2002). An analysis of the influence of technology training on teacher stages of concern regarding the use of instructional technology in schools. *Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 18*(4), 124–132.
- Castro, F. G., Barrera, M., & Martinez, C. R. (2004). The cultural adaptation of prevention interventions: Resolving tensions between fidelity and fit. *Prevention Science, 5*(1), 41–45.
- Cates, W., Price, B., & Bodzin, A. M. (2003). Implementing technology-rich curricular materials: Findings from the Exploring Life Project. *Computers in the Schools, 20*(1/2), 153–169.
- Cavanaugh, C. (2011). An evaluation of the conditions, processes, and consequences of laptop computing in K–12 classrooms. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 45*(3), 359–378.
- Chang, I.-H. (2012). The effect of principals' technological leadership on teachers' technological literacy and teaching. *Educational Technology & Society, 15*(2), 328–340.
- Chang, I.-H., Chin, J. M., & Hsu, C.-M. (2008). Teachers' perceptions of the dimensions and implementation of technology leadership of principals in Taiwanese elementary schools. *Educational Technology & Society, 11*(4), 229–245.
- Chapman, L., & Pedulla, J. (2010). Do digital divisions still persist in schools? Access to technology and technical skills of teachers in high needs schools in the United States of America. *Journal of Education for Teaching, 36*(2), 239–249.
- Chen, C. H. (2008). Why do teachers not practice what they believe regarding technology integration? *Journal of Educational Research, 102*(1), 65–75.

- Chen, E., Heritage, M., & Lee, J. (2005). Identifying and monitoring students' learning needs with technology. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 10(3), 309–332.
- Chen, I., & McPheeters, D. (Eds.). (2011). *Cases on educational technology integration in urban schools*. Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
- Cheung, A. C., & Slavin, R. E. (2011). *The effectiveness of educational technology applications for enhancing mathematics achievement in K–12 classrooms: A meta-analysis*. Baltimore: Center for Research and Reform in Education.
- Cheung, A. C., & Slavin, R. E. (2012). How features of educational technology applications affect student reading outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 7(3), 198–215.
- Chien, Y., Chang, C., Yeh, T., & Chang, K. (2012). Engaging pre-service science teachers to act as active designers of technology integration: A MAGDAIRE framework. *Teaching and Teacher Education: An International Journal of Research and Studies*, 28(4), 578–588.
- Chinman, M., Hannah, G., Wandersman, A., Ebener, P., Hunter, S. B., Imm, P., et al. (2005). Developing a community science research agenda for building community capacity for effective preventive interventions. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 35(3/4), 143–157.
- Cole, K., & Simkins, M. (2002). Learning to teach with technology: Strategies for in-service professional development. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 10(3), 431–455.
- Collier, C. (2001). Staff development for technology integration in the classroom. In J. Lebaron & C. Collier (Eds.), *Technology in its place: Successful technology infusion in school* (pp. 61–72). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Consortium for School Networking. (2009). *Framework of essential skills of the K–12 chief technology officer*. Retrieved from <http://www.cosn.org/Resources/CTOCouncil/EssentialSkillsoftheK12CTO/tabid/4489/Default.aspx>
- Cradler, J., McNabb, M., Freeman, M., & Burchett, R. (2002). How does technology influence student learning? *Learning & Leading With Technology*, 29(8), 46–56.
- Crane, C. M. (2005). *Teacher perceived impact of technology on elementary classrooms and teaching*. (Doctoral dissertation). University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.
- Chrispeels, J. H., Castillo S., & Brown J. (2000). School leadership teams: A process model of team development. *School Effectiveness & School Improvement*, 11, 20–56.
- Cudd, P. A., & Lane, J. P. (2012). The "Need to Knowledge" Model: An operational framework for knowledge translation and technology transfer. *Technology & Disability*, 24(3), 182–192.
- Culp, K., Honey, M., & Mandinach, E. (2003). *A retrospective on twenty years of education technology policy*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology.
- Davies, P. M. (2010). On school educational technology leadership. *Management in Education*, 24(2), 55–61.

- Davis, G. (2008). The development and field test of the education technology leadership assessment survey. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 69, 04A.
- Davis, J., Preston, C., & Sahin, I. (2009). ICT teacher training: Evidence for multilevel evaluation from a national initiative. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(1), 135–148.
- Davis, M. R. (2008). The knowledge gap. *Education Week Digital Directions*, 1(3), 15–17. Retrieved from <http://www.edweek.org/dd/articles/2008/01/23/3leadership.h01.html>
- Dawson, K. (2012). Using action research projects to examine teacher technology integration practices. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 28(3), 117–124.
- Dawson, K., Cavanaugh, C., & Ritzhaupt, A. D. (2008). Florida's Leveraging Laptops Initiative and its impact on teacher practices. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 41(2), 143–159.
- Dean, V., & Martineau, J. (2012). A state perspective on enhancing assessment and accountability systems through systematic implementation of technology. In R. W. Lissitz & H. Jiao (Eds.), *Computers and their impact on state assessments: Recent history and predictions for the future* (pp. 55–77). Scottsdale, AZ: Information Age Publishing.
- Dede, C. (2000). Emerging influences of information technology on school curriculum. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 32(2), 281–303.
- Dede, C. (1998). *Six challenges for educational technology*. Fairfax, VA: George Mason University. Retrieved from http://www.learningdomain.com/6_challenges.IT.pdf
- Demir, S. (2011). Two inseparable facets of technology integration programs: Technology and theoretical framework. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 7(2), 75–88.
- Derringer, P. (2010). Making 1.1 work. *Technology and Learning*, 30(7), 28–34.
- Dexter, S. (2002). *eTIPS: Educational technology integration and implementation principles*. Hershey, PA: Idea Group Publishing.
- Dexter, S. (2011). School technology leadership: Artifacts in systems of practice. *Journal of School Leadership*, 21, 166–189.
- Dexter, S., Doering, A. H., & Riedel, E. S. (2006). Content area specific technology integration: A model for educating teachers. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 14(2), 325–345.
- Douglas, K. H., Wojcik, B. W., & Thompson, J. R. (2012). Is there an app for that? *Journal of Special Education*, 27(2), 59–70.
- Dufour, R., & Marzano, R. J. (2011). *Leaders of learning: How district, school and classroom leaders improve student achievement*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Dunleavy, M., Dede, C., & Mitchell, R. (2009). Affordances and limitations of immersive participatory augmented reality simulations for teaching and learning. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 18, 7–22.

- Durlak, J. A., & DuPre, E. P. (2008). Implementation matters: A review of research on the influence of implementation on program outcomes and the factors affecting implementation. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 41*, 327–350.
- Dynarski, M., Agodini, R., Heaviside, S., Novak, T., Carey, N., Campuzano, L., et al. (2007). *Effectiveness of reading and mathematics software products: Findings from the first student cohort*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences.
- Eagle, K. A., Garson, A. J., Beller, G. A., & Sennett, C. (2003). Closing the gap between science and practice: The need for professional leadership. *Health Affairs, 22*, 196–201.
- Earle, R. S. (2002). The integration of instructional technology in public education. *Educational Technology Magazine, 42*(1), 5–13.
- Edwards, R. W., Jumper-Thurman, P., Plested, B. A., Oetting, E. R., & Swanson, L. (2000). Community readiness: Research to practice. *Journal of Community Psychology, 28*(3), 291–307.
- Edutech. (2007). Edutech responds. *Edutech Report, 23*(9), 8.
- Edutopia Staff. (2008). Why integrate technology into the curriculum? The reasons are many. *Edutopia*. Retrieved from <http://www.edutopia.org/technology-integration-introduction>
- Eller, J. F., & Eller, S. A. (2011). *Working with difficult and resistant staff*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Elliott, D. S., & Mihalic, S. (2004). Issues in disseminating and replicating effective prevention programs. *Prevention Science, 5*(1), 47–52.
- Elmore, R. (2011). *I used to think and now I think: 20 leading educators reflect on the work of school reform*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.
- Enochsson, A., & Rizza, C. (2009). *ICT in initial teacher training: Research review* (OECD Education Working Paper 38). Paris, France: The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Ertmer, P. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology, Research and Development, 47*(40), 47–61.
- Ertmer, P. A., Conklin, D., Lewandowski, J., Osika, E., Selo, M., & Wignall, E. (2003). Increasing preservice teachers' capacity for technology integration through use of electronic models. *Teacher Education Quarterly, 30*(1), 95–112.
- Ertmer, P. A., Gopalakrishnan, S., & Ross, E. M. (2001). Technology-using teachers: Comparing perceptions of exemplary technology use to best practice. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 33*, 5.
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 42*(3), 255–284.

- Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. *Computers and Education, 59*(2), 423–435.
- Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., & York, C. S. (2006). Exemplary technology-using teachers: Perceptions of factors influencing success. *Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 23*(2), 55–61.
- Escobar-Arcay, D. (2009). An analytical and descriptive assessment of Michael Fullan's scholarship on educational change. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences, 70*(1–A), 42.
- Eteokleous, N. (2008). Evaluating computer technology integration in a centralized school system. *Computers & Education, 51*(2), 669–686.
- Fatemi, E. (1999). Building the digital curriculum: Summary. *Education Week, 19*(4), 5. Retrieved from <http://web.archive.org/web/20060930000728/counts.edweek.org/sreports/tc99/articles/summary.htm>
- Feldstein, A. C., & Glasgow, R. E. (2008). A Practical, Robust Implementation and Sustainability Model (PRISM) for integrating research findings into practice. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety/Joint Commission Resources, 34*, 228–243.
- Feyerer, E., Miesenberger, K., & Wohlar, D. (2002). ICT and assistive technology in teachers' education and training. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2398*, 107–114.
- Fishman, B., Marx, R., Blumenfeld, P., Krajcik, J., & Soloway, E. (2004). Creating an inventory for research on systemic technology innovations. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 13*(1), 43–76.
- Fixsen, D. L., Blasé, K. A., & Campbell, A. (2012). *Implementation and public policy. Colorado Implementation Initiative*. Retrieved from <http://nirn.fpg.unc.edu/sites/nirn.fpg.unc.edu/files/resources/NIRN-CII-ImplementationAndPublicPolicy-12-2012.pdf>
- Fixsen, D. L., Naoom, S. F., Blase, K. A., Friedman, R. M., & Wallace, F. (2005). *Implementation research: A synthesis of the literature*. Chapel Hill, NC: National Implementation Research Network & Tampa, FL: Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute, Department of Child and Family Studies, University of South Florida.
- Flaspohler, P., Stillman, L., Duffy, J. L., Wandersman, A., & Maras, M. (2008). Unpacking capacity: The intersection of research to practice and community centered models. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 41*(3–4), 171–181.
- Flanagan, L., & Jacobsen, M. (2003). Technology leadership for the twenty-first century principal. *Journal of Educational Administration, 41*(2), 124–142.
- Frank, K., & Zhao, Y. (2003). Factors affecting technology users in schools: An ecological perspective. *American Educational Research Journal, 40*(4), 807–840.
- Frank, K., Zhao, Y., & Borman, K. (2004). Social capital and the diffusion of innovations within organizations: The case of computer technology in schools. *Sociology of Education, 77*(2), 148–171.

- Frank, K. A., Zhao, Y., Witt, E., & Fitzgerald, H. E. (2011). Focus, fiddle, and friends: Experiences that transform knowledge for the implementation of innovations. *Sociology of Education, 84*, 137–156.
- Franklin, C. A. (2007). Factors that influence elementary teachers' use of computers. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 15*(2), 267–293.
- Frey, N., & Fisher, D. (2008). Doing the right thing with technology. *English Journal, 97*(6), 38–42.
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Hamlet, C. L., Powell, S. R., Capizzi, A. M., & Seethaler, P. M. (2006). The effects of computer-assisted instruction on number combination skill in at-risk first graders. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 39*(5), 467–475.
- Fullan, M. (2008). *The six secrets of change: What the best leaders do to help their organizations survive and thrive*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fullan, M. (2009). Introduction. *The challenge of change: Start school improvement now* (2nd ed., pp. 3–8). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Fullan, M. (2009). *The challenge of change: Start school improvement now* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Fullan, M. (2010). *Motion leadership: The skinny on becoming change savvy*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Fullan, M., Cuttress, C., & Kilcher, A. (2009). 8 forces for leaders of change. *The challenge of change: Start school improvement now* (2nd ed., pp. 9–20). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Fullan, M., & Knight, J. (2011). Coaches as system leaders. *Educational Leadership, 69*(2), 50–53.
- Fullan, M., & Sharratt, L. (2009). Sustaining leadership in complex times. *The challenge of change: Start school improvement now* (2nd ed., pp. 157–178). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Fuller, H. L. (2000). First teach their teachers: Technology support and computer use in academic subjects. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education, 32*(4), 511–537.
- Goldberg, A., Russell, M., & Cook, A. (2003). The effect of computers on student writing: A meta-analysis of studies from 1992 to 2002. *Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment, 2*(1), 1–24.
- Gooler, D., Kautzer, K., & Knuth, R. (2000). *Teacher competence in using technologies: The next big question*. Honolulu, HI: Pacific Resources for Education and Learning.
- Gottfredson, D. C., & Gottfredson, G. D. (2002). Quality of school based prevention programs: Results from a national survey. *Journal of Research on Crime and Delinquency, 39*, 3–35.
- Graczewski, C., Knudson, J., & Holtzman, D. (2009). Instructional leadership in practice: What does it look like, and what influence does it have? *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk, 14*(1), 72–96.
- Graves, A., & Klett, W. (2009). A mentoring model for inactive online learning in support of a technology innovation challenge grant. *Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 26*(1), 5–16.

- Gray, L., Thomas, N., & Lewis, L. (2010). *Educational technology in U.S. public schools: Fall 2008*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.
- Greaves, T., & Hayes, J. (2008). *America's digital schools 2008: The six trends to watch*. Retrieved from http://www.lcps.org/cms/lib4/VA01000195/Centricity/Domain/52/Americas_Digital_Schools_2008.pdf
- Greaves, T., Hayes, J., Wilson, L., Gielniak, M., & Peterson, R. (2010). *The technology factor: Nine keys to student achievement and cost-effectiveness*. Shelton, CT: MDR. Retrieved from http://www.schooldata.com/pdfs/ProjectRED_TheTechnologyFactor_Intro.pdf
- Grismore, B. A. (2012). *Mini technology manual for schools: An introduction to technology integration*. Online ERIC Submission, 1–8. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED533378.pdf>
- Groff, J., & Mouza, C. (2008). A framework for addressing challenges to classroom technology use. *AACE Journal*, 16(1), 21–46.
- Guha, M., Druin, A., Chipman, G., Fails, J., Simms, S., & Farber, A. (2005). Working with young children as technology design partners. *Communications of the ACM*, 48(1), 39–42.
- Guhn, M. (2009). Insights from successful and unsuccessful implementations of school reform programs. *Journal of Educational Change*, 10(4), 337–363.
- Gülbahar, Y. (2007). Technology planning: A roadmap to successful technology integration in schools. *Computers & Education*, 49, 943–956.
- Hager, M. J., & Clemmons, R. (2010). Faculty and IT: Conversations and collaboration. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 45(2), 58–59.
- Hall, G. E., & Hord, S. M. (2006). *Implementing change: Patterns, principles, and potholes*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Hall, G. E., & Hord, S. M. (2010). *Implementing change: Patterns, principles and potholes* (3rd ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Heller, J. I., Curtis, D. A., Jaffe, R., & Verboncouer, C. J. (2005). *Impact of handheld graphing calculator use on student achievement in Algebra 1*. Oakland, CA: Heller Research Associates.
- Hernandez-Ramos, P. (2005). If not here, where? Understanding teachers' use of technology in Silicon Valley schools. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 38(1), 39–64.
- Herr, L., & Brooks, D. W. (2003). Developing and sustaining K–12 school technology innovation through lottery grant awards: A multiple case study. *Journal of Science Education & Technology*, 12(2), 153–182.
- Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K–12 teaching and learning: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 55(3), 223–252.

- Higgins, E. L., & Raskind, M. H. (2005). The compensatory effectiveness of the Quicktionary Reading Pen II on the reading comprehension of students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 20(1), 31–38.
- Hiltz, J., & Dexter, S. (2012). Principal leadership and instructional technology support networks. In P. Resta (Ed.), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2012* (pp. 3361–3366). Chesapeake, VA: AACE. Retrieved from <http://www.edutlib.org/p/40107>
- Hohlfeld, T. N., Ritzhaupt, A. D., Barron, A. E., & Kemker, K. (2008). Examining the digital divide in K–12 public schools: Four-year trends for supporting ICT literacy in Florida. *Computers & Education*, 51(4), 1648–1663.
- Honey, M., Kulp, K. M., & Carrigg, F. (2000). Perspectives on technology and education research: Lessons from the past and present. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 23(1), 5–14.
- Houston, M. (2008). Notes from a centralized office. *Technology & Learning*, 28(6), 19–21.
- Hsu, P., & Sharma, P. (2008). A case study of enabling factors in the technology integration change process. *Educational Technology & Society*, 11(4), 213–228.
- Hughes, J. (2009). The digital pencil: One-to-one computing for children. *Literacy*, 43(1), 61.
- Hur, J., Cullen, T., & Brush, T. (2010). Teaching for application: A model for assisting pre-service teachers with technology integration. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 18(1), 161–182.
- Inan, F. A., & Lowther, D. L. (2010). Factors affecting technology integration in K–12 classrooms: A path. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58, 137–154.
- International Society for Technology in Education. (2009). *National Educational Technology Standards for Administrators (NETS-A)*. Retrieved from <http://www.iste.org/docs/pdfs/nets-a-standards.pdf?sfvrsn=2>
- Jackson, C. (2012). All systems go: The change imperative for whole system review. *Development in Education*, 38(4), 689–690.
- Jimoyiannis, A., & Komis, V. (2007). Examining teachers' beliefs about ICT in education: Implementation of a teacher preparation programme. *Teacher Development*, 11(2), 149–173.
- Johnson, G. (2012, March). *Reframing technology narratives and routines to energize organizational change*. Presented at CUE 2012 meeting, Washington, DC. Retrieved from <http://www.slideshare.net/maremel/reframing-technology-narratives-and-routines-to-energize-organizational-change>
- Jonassen, D., Howlad, J., Marra, R., & Crismond, D. (2008). *Meaningful learning with technology*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson, Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (2002). *Student achievement through staff development*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Judge, S., & O'Bannon, B. (2007). Integrating technology into field-based experiences: A model that fosters change. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(1), 286–302.

- Kallestad, J. H., & Olweus, D. (2003). Predicting teachers' and schools' implementation of the Olweus Bullying Prevention Program: A multilevel study. *Prevention & Treatment, 6*, 3–21.
- Karagiori, Y. (2005). Throwing light into the black box of implementation: ICT in Cyprus elementary schools. *Educational Media International, 42*(1), 19–32.
- Ketternlin-Geller, L. R., & Tindal, G. (2007). Embedded technology: Current and future practices for increasing accessibility for all students. *Journal of Special Education, 22*(4), 1–15
- Kincaid, T., & Feldner, L. (2002). Leadership for technology integration: The role of principals and mentors. *Educational Technology and Society, 5*, 1. Retrieved from http://www.ifets.info/journals/5_1/kincaid.html
- King, K. P. (2002). *A journey of transformation: A model of educators' learning experiences in educational technology*. Raleigh, NC: Adult and Community College Education, North Carolina State University.
- King-Sears, M. E., & Evmenova, A. S. (2007). Premises, principles, and processes for integrating TECHNOLOGY into instruction. *Teaching Exceptional Children, 40*(1), 6–14.
- Kirkland, J., & Bilmer, D. (2007). Save us from e-nihilation. *Literacy Today, 51*, 11.
- Kitchenham, A. (2006). Teachers and technology: A transformative journey. *Journal of Transformative Education, 4*(3), 202–225.
- Klopfer, E., Osterweil, S., Groff, J., & Haas, J. (2009). The instructional power of digital games, social networking, simulations, and how teachers can leverage them. *The Education Arcade, 1*–20.
- Kong, S. C. (2009). An empirical study of school-based planning for the use of information technology to improve the quality of education in the twenty-first century. *Technology Pedagogy and Education, 18*(3), 343–359. Retrieved from <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14759390903255627>
- Kopcha, T. J. (2010). A systems-based approach to technology integration using mentoring and communities of practice. *Educational Technology Research & Development, 58*(2), 175–190.
- Kozma, R. (Ed.) (2003). *Technology, innovation, and educational change: A global perspective*. Eugene, OR: International Society for Technology in Education.
- Kozma, R. B. (2003). Technology and classroom practices: An international study. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 36*(1), 1–14.
- Krischner, P., & Davis, N. (2003). Pedagogical benchmarks for information and communications technology in teacher education. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 12*(1), 125–147.
- Krueger, K. R. (2010). Finding and nurturing leadership. *School Administrator, 67*(7), 8.
- Krueger, K., Hansen, L., & Smaldino, S. (2000). Preservice teacher technology competencies: A model for preparing teachers of tomorrow to use technology. *TechTrends, 44*(3), 47–50.

- LeBaron, J. (2001). Curriculum planning for technology-rich instruction. In J. LeBaron & C. Collier (Eds.), *Technology in its place: Successful technology infusion in schools* (pp. 17–29). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Lei, J. (2010). Quantity versus quality: A new approach to examine the relationship between technology use and student outcomes. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(3), 455–472.
- Lesisko, L., Wright, R., & O'Hern, B. (2010, February). *Technology integration: A best practice perspective*. Presented at the annual meeting of the Eastern Educational Research Association, Savannah, GA.
- Lever-Duffy, J., & McDonald, J. B. (2010). *Teaching and learning with technology* (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Levin, T., & Wadmany, R. (2008). Teachers' views on factors affecting effective integration of information technology in the classroom: Developmental scenery. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 16(2), 233–262.
- Levy, M. S. (2011). Migrant laptops: Extending the academic day for the children of farm workers and their credit recovery via laptops. *Computers in Schools*, 28(2), 140–157.
- Li, S. C. (2010). Social capital, empowerment and educational change: A scenario of permeation of one-to-one technology in school. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 26(4), 284–295.
- Lim, C. P., & Chai, C. S. (2008). Teachers' pedagogical beliefs and their planning and conduct of computer-mediated classroom lessons. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 39(5), 807–828.
- Lim, J., & Freed, S. (2009). We have the videoconference equipment installed, now what? *Qualitative Report*, 14(3), 433–453.
- Livet, M., & Wandersman, A. (2005). Organizational functioning: Facilitating effective interventions and increasing the odds of programming success. In D. M. Fetterman & A. Wandersman (Eds.), *Empowerment evaluation principles in practice* (pp. 123–154). New York: Guilford Press.
- Lowther, D. L., Inan, F. A., Strahl, J. D., & Ross, S. M. (2008). Does technology integration “work” when key barriers are removed? *Educational Media International*, 45(3), 189–206.
- Lu, R., & Overbaugh, R. (2009). School environment and technology implementation in K–12 classrooms. *Computers in the Schools*, 26(2), 89–106.
- Ma, W., Andersson, R., & Streith, K. (2005). Examining user acceptance of computer technology: An empirical study of student teachers. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21(6), 387–395.
- MacArthur, C. A., & Haynes, J. B. (1995). Student Assistant for Learning from Text (SALT): A hypermedia reading aid. *Journal of Learning (SALT) Disabilities*, 28(3), 150–159.
- MacDonald, M. A., & Green, L. W. (2001). Reconciling concept and context: The dilemma of implementation in school-based health promotion. *Health Education & Behavior*, 28(6), 729–768.
- Maddux, C., & Johnson, L. (2006). Information technology: Type II classroom integration, and the limited infrastructure in schools. *Computers in the Schools*, 22(3/4), 1–5.

- Martin, W., Culp, K., Gersick, A., & Nudell, H. (2003). *Intel Teach to the Future: Lessons learned from the evaluation of a large-scale technology integration professional development program*. New York: EDC's Center for Children and Technology.
- Martinez, S., & Harper, D. (2008). Working with tech-savvy kids. *Educational Leadership*, 66(3), 64–69.
- Mawson, B. (2003). Beyond 'The Design Process': An alternative pedagogy for technology education. *Journal of Technology & Design Education*, 13(2), 117–128.
- McIntosh, K., Filter, K., Bennett, J., Ryan, C., & Sugai, G. (2010). Principles of sustainable prevention: Designing scale-up school-wide positive behavior support to promote durable systems. *Psychology in Schools*, 47(1), 5–21.
- McKenzie, J. (2004). Stuffing technology into the curriculum. *From Now On: The Educational Technology Journal*, 13, 8. Retrieved from <http://www.fno.org/jun04/stuffit.html>
- McMillan Culp, K., Honey, M., & Mandinach, E. (2005). A retrospective on twenty years of education technology policy. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 32(3), 279–307.
- McPherson, S., Witzer, D., & Pierrell, E. (2006). Technology academies: A professional development model for technology integration leaders. *Learning and Leading With Technology*, 33(5), 26–27, 29–31.
- Means, B. (2010). Technology and education change: Focus on student learning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 285–307.
- Meeks, G. (2011). One-to-one student computing. *School Planning & Management*, 50(7), 51–53.
- Meyers, D. C., Durlak, J., & Wandersman, A. (2012). The Quality Implementation Framework: A synthesis of critical steps in the implementation process. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 50(3/4), 462–480.
- Mills, L. B. (2005). Organizing for technology support. *School Administrator*, 62, 4.
- Mills, S. C., & Ragan, T. J. (2000). A tool for analyzing implementation fidelity of an integrated learning system. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 48, 21–41.
- Mitchell, R. (2011). Planning for instructional technology in the classroom. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 154, 45–52.
- Moersch, C. (1995). Levels of technology implementation (LoTi): A framework for measuring classroom technology use. *Learning & Leading with Technology*, 24, 52–56.
- Moersch, C. (1999). Levels of technology implementation: An inventory for measuring classroom technology use. *Learning and Leading With Technology*, 26(8), 59–63.
- Moody, K. (2011). Teacher perceptions of moving toward technology innovation: Does an Enhancing Education Through Technology grant lead to innovation and change? *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 71(7–A), 2427.
- Morgan, D., Humphries, S. A., & Goette, T. B. (2006). Integrating technology to achieve a measurable level of learning. *Communications of the IIMA*, 6(2), 47–52.

- Morrison, G. R., & Lowther, D. L. (2010). *Integrating computer technology into the classroom* (4th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson, Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Morse, B. C. (2010). Virtual education in Rhode Island's K–12 public schools: Current status and perceptions of administrators. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A*, 71.
- Mouza, C. (2002). Learning to teach with new technology: Implications for professional development. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 35(2), 272–289.
- Muhammad, A., & Sharroky, H. (2012). *The will to lead, the skill to teach: Transforming schools at every level*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Naoom, S. F., Wallace, F., Blase, K. A., Haines, M., & Fixsen, D. L. (2004). *Implementation in the real world: Taking programs and practices to scale: Concept mapping report* (pp. 1–3). Chapel Hill, NC: National Implementation Research Network & Tampa, FL: Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute, Department of Child and Family Studies, University of South Florida.
- Nash, S. (2012). Using a framework to help understand school reform. *AASA Journal of Scholarship & Practice*, 8(4), 28–40.
- National Education Association. (2008). *Access, adequacy, and equity in education technology*. Washington DC: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.edutopia.org/files/existing/pdfs/NEA-Access,Adequacy,andEquityinEdTech.pdf>
- Newton, X. A., & Llosa, L. (2010). Toward a more nuanced approach to program effectiveness assessment: Hierarchical linear models in K–12 program evaluation. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 31(2), 162–179.
- November, A. (2010). *Empowering students with technology* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- O'Donnell, C. L. (2008). Defining, conceptualizing, and measuring fidelity of implementation and its relationship to outcomes in K–12 curriculum intervention research. *Review of Educational Research*, 78, 33–84.
- O'Dwyer, L., Russell, M., & Bebell, D. (2004). Identifying teacher, school and district characteristics associated with elementary teachers' use of technology: A multilevel perspective. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 12(48), 1–33.
- Olsen, E. M., & Chrispeels, J. H. (2009). A pathway forward to school change: Leading together and achieving goals. *Leadership & Policy in Schools*, 8(4), 380–410.
- Osher, D., & Hanley, T. V. (2001). Implementing the SED National Agenda: Promising programs and policies for children and youth with emotional and behavioral problems. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 24, 374–403.
- Oubre, A. (2008). Technological leadership proficiency among school administrators in the twenty-first century schools (21s) initiative. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A*, 68.
- Overbaugh, R., & Lu, R. (2008). The impact of a NCLB-EETT funded professional development program on teacher self-efficacy and resultant implementation. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 41(1), 43–61.

- Palmer, G. (2004). The sixth grade steps up big with handhelds in Newport-Mesa. *District Administration*, 40, 18–20.
- Peckham, V. (2001). Training: Brevard's cornerstone of technology. *Curriculum Administrator*, 37(1).
- Persichitte, K. A., Caffarella, E. P., & Ferguson-Pabst, D. (2003). A continuing journey toward technology infusion within teacher preparation. *TechTrends*, 47(2), 12–14.
- Pettenati, M. C., Giuli, D., & Khaled, O. A. (2001). Information technology and staff development: Issues and problems related to new skills and competence acquisition. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(2), 153–169. Retrieved from <http://www.questia.com/library/1G1-78398469/information-technology-and-staff-development-issues>
- Recker, M. M., Walker, A. A., Giersch, S. S., Mao, X. X., Halioris, S. S., Palmer, B. B., et al. (2007). A study of teachers' use of online learning resources to design classroom activities. *New Review of Hypermedia & Multimedia*, 13(2), 117–134.
- Richardson, W., & Mancabelli, R. (2011). *Personal learning networks: Using the power of connections to transform education*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Riemer-Reiss, M. L., & Wacker, R. R. (2000). Factors associated with assistive technology discontinuance among individuals with disabilities. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 66(1), 44–50.
- Ringstaff, C., & Kelley, L. (2002). *The learning return on our educational technology investment: A review of findings from research*. San Francisco: WestEd.
- Ritz, J. M. (2009). A new generation of goals for technology education. *Journal of Technology Education*, 20(2), 50–64.
- Robertson, W. J. (2003). Stepping out of the box: Rethinking the failure of ICT to transform schools. *Journal of Educational Change*, 4, 323–344.
- Roblyer, M. D., & Doering, A. H. (2010). *Integrating educational technology into teaching* (5th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Roschelle, J., Tatar, D., Shechtman, N., Hegedus, S., Hopkins, B., Knudsen, J., et al. (2007). *Can a technology-enhanced curriculum improve student learning of important mathematics?* (SimCalc Technical Report 1). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
- Ross, J. D., McGraw, T. M., & Burdette, K. J. (2001). *Toward an effective use of technology in education: A summary of research*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED462963)
- Ruiling, L., & Overbaugh, R. C. (2009). School environment and technology implementation in K–12 classrooms. *Computers in the Schools*, 26(2), 89–106.
- Russell, J. F. (2005). Evidence related to awareness, adoption, and implementation of the standards for technological literacy: Content for the study of technology. *Journal of Technology Studies*, 31(1), 30–38.
- Russell, M. M., Bebell, D., O'Dwyer, L., & O'Connor, K. (2003). Examining teacher technology use: Implications for preservice and inservice teacher preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 54(4), 297–310.

- Schofield, J. W., & Davidson, A. L. (2002). *Bringing the Internet to school: Lessons from an urban district*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Schrum, L., & Levin, B. B. (2009) *Leading 21st-century Schools: Harnessing technology for engagement and achievement*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Schrum, L., Thompson, A., Sprague, D., Maddox, C., McAnear, A., Bell, L., et al. (2005). Advancing the field: Considering acceptable evidence in educational technology research. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 5(3/4), 202–209.
- Shapley, K. S., Sheehan, D., Maloney, C., & Caranikas-Walker, F. (2010). Evaluating the implementation fidelity of technology immersion and its relationship with student achievement. *The Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment*, 9, 4.
- Simpson, D. D. (2002). A conceptual framework for transferring research to practice. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 22, 171–182.
- Simpson, R. (2008). Visualizing technology integration: A model for meeting ISTE educational-technology standards. *Edutopia*. Retrieved from <http://www.edutopia.org/ferryway-school-saugus-ironworks>
- Sivin-Kachala, J., & Bialo, E. (2000). *2000 research report on the effectiveness of technology in schools* (7th ed.). Washington, DC: Software and Information Industry Association.
- Slavin, R. E., Cheung, A., Groff, C., & Lake, C. (2008). Hindsight, understanding what we got wrong, and changing directions. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 43(3), 290–322.
- Slavin, R. E., & Lake, C. (2007). *Effective programs in elementary mathematics: A best-evidence synthesis*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Data-Driven Reform in Education.
- Slavin, R. E., Lake, C., Chambers, B., Cheung, A., & Davis, S. (2009). *Effective beginning reading programs: A best-evidence synthesis*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Data-Driven Reform in Education.
- Slavin, R. E., Lake, C., Davis, S., & Madden, N. A. (2009). *Effective programs for struggling readers: A best-evidence synthesis*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Data-Driven Reform in Education.
- Slavin, R. E., Lake, C., & Groff, C. (2008). *Effective programs in middle and high school mathematics: A best-evidence synthesis*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Data-Driven Reform in Education.
- Smaldino, S. E., Russell, J. D., Henich, R., & Molenda, M. (2008). *Instructional technology and media for learning* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Smiley, R. W. (2010). Characteristics of systems and leadership in K–12 public school educational technology programs: Understanding data use, decision making, and contextual factors. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 71(3–A).
- Smith, G. E., Throne, S., & International Society for Technology in Education. (2007). *Differentiating instruction with technology in K–5 classrooms*. Eugene, OR: International Society for Technology in Education.
- Smorynski, H. W. (2012). Decision-making for sustainable change. *Academic Leader*, 28(1), 3–7.

- Solomon, G., & Schrum, L. (2007). *Web 2.0: New tools, new schools*. Eugene, OR: International Society for Technology in Education.
- Songer, N. B., Lee, H.-S., & Kam, R. (2001). Technology-rich inquiry science in urban classrooms: What are the barriers to inquiry pedagogy? *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(2), 128–150.
- Spitzer, D. R. (2003). Lessons learned: 20 keys to successful training and performance improvement. *Educational Technology*, 43(1), 50–53.
- Stansbury, M. (2008). Schools need help with tech support. *eSchool news*. Retrieved from <http://www.eschoolnews.com/2008/01/09/schools-need-help-with-tech-support/>
- State Education Technology Directors Association (SETDA). (2012). *Case studies*. Retrieved from <http://www.setda.org/web/guest/casestudies2012>
- Steckelberg, A., Lan, L., Xiongyi, L., & Kozak, M. (2008). A rubric for self-assessment of essential technology conditions in schools. *Computers in Schools*, 25(1/2), 81–89.
- Steelman, J., Vasu, E., & Foley, A. (2004). Evaluating technology integration: Putting all the pieces together to meet the challenge of NCLB. In R. Ferdig et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2004* (pp. 1055–1060). Chesapeake, VA: AACE.
- Stein, S. J., Ginns, I. S., & McRobbie, C. J. (2003). Grappling with teaching design and technology: A beginning teacher's experiences. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 21(2), 141–157.
- Stone, N. J. (2008). Human factors and education: Evolution and contributions. *Human Factors & Ergonomics Society*, 50(3), 534–539.
- Straub, E. T. (2009). Understanding technology adoption: Theory and future directions for informal learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(2), 625–649.
- Strudler, N. (2010). Perspectives on technology and educational change. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 221–229.
- Stuart, L. H., Mills, A. M., & Remus, U. (2009). School leaders, ICT competence and championing innovations. *Computers & Education*, 53(3), 733–741.
- Sugar, W., & Kester, D. (2007). Lessons learned from IMPACTing technology integration practices: Four IMPACT model case studies. *Computers in the Schools*, 24(1/2), 15–32.
- Sun, J. (2000). *Planning into practice: Resources for planning, implementing and integrating instructional technology*. Durham, NC: SouthEast and Islands Regional Technology in Education Consortium (SEIR*TEC).
- Sweet, J., Rasher, S., Abromitis, B., & Johnson, E. (2004). *Case studies of higher performing, high technology schools: Final research report on schools with predominantly low-income, African-American, or Latino student populations*. Naperville, IL: Learning Point Associates.
- Takkunen, C. L. (2008). Learning to teach with technology: New teachers' perspectives on using educational technology after participating in a PT3 grant initiative. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 69.

- Tan, S.-C. (2010, December). *Technology leadership: Lessons from empirical research*. Paper presented at the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education (ASCILITE) Conference, Sydney, Australia. Retrieved from http://ascilite.org.au/conferences/sydney10/Ascilite%20conference%20proceedings%202010/Seng_chee_tan-concise.pdf
- Terpstra, M. (2010). Developing technological pedagogical content knowledge: Preservice teachers' perceptions of how they learn to use educational technology in their teaching. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A*, 70(10). (UMI No. AAT3381410)
- Thomas, M., Barab, S. A., & Tuzun, H. (2009). Developing critical implementations of technology-rich innovations: A cross-case study of the implementation of Quest Atlantis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 41(2), 125–153.
- Thompson, S., Clem, J., Bettion, W., Richter, K., Riegeluth, C., Doll, M., et al. (2006). Experiences in systemic change. *TechTrends*, 50(2), 34–41.
- Trybus, M. A. (2011). Facing the challenge of change: Steps to becoming an effective leader. *Delta Kappa Gamma Bulletin*, 77(3), 33–36.
- Tubin, D. (2006). Typology of ICT implementation and technology applications. *Computers in the Schools*, 23(1/2), 85–98.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2008). *Foundations for success: The final report of the National Mathematics Advisory Panel*. Washington, DC: Author.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2010). *Transforming American education: Learning powered by technology: National Educational Technology Plan 2010*. Washington, DC: Office of Educational Technology.
- Valcke, M., Rots, I., Verbeke, M., & van Braak, J. (2007). ICT teacher training: Evaluation of the curriculum and training approach in Flanders. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(6), 795–808.
- Vendlinski, T. P., Morris, J., & Michels, C. (2008). *The importance of intention and order: Teaching for conceptual understanding using handheld technology*. Goleta, CA: Texas Instruments.
- Venezky, R. L. (2004). Technology in the classroom: Steps toward a new vision. *Education, Communication & Information*, 4(1), 3–21.
- Vrasidas, C. (2003). The design, development, and implementation of LUDA Virtual High School. *Computers in the Schools*, 20(3), 15–25.
- Wandersman, A., Chien, V., & Katz, J. (2012). Toward an evidence-based system for innovation support for implementing innovations with quality: Tools, training, technical assistance and quality assurance/quality improvement. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 50(3/4), 445–459.
- Wandersman, A., Imm, P., Chinman, M., & Kaftarian, S. (2000). Getting to outcomes: A results-based approach to accountability. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 23, 389–395.
- Ware, A. (2009). Unlocking excellence with keys to quality: Align appropriate technologies within your school's strategic improvement plan. *Learning and Leading With Technology*, 36(8), 22–23.

- Warschauer, M. D. (2008). Learning with laptops: A multi-method case study. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 38(3), 305–332.
- Wasonga, T. (2007). Using technology to enhance collaborative learning. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 21, 585–592.
- Watts, C. D. (2010). Technology leadership, school climate, and technology integration: A correlation study in K–12 public schools. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 70(8–A), 2842.
- Waugh, R., & Godfrey, J. (1993). Teacher receptivity to system-wide change in the implementation change. *British Educational Research Journal*, 19(5), 565–578.
- Wenglinsky, H. (1998). *Does it compute? The relationship between educational technology and student achievement in mathematics*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service, Policy Information Center.
- Wenglinsky, H. (2005). *Using technology wisely: The keys to success in schools*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Wentland, D. (2011). Examining and testing Michael Fullan's eight elements of sustainability. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 72(6–A), 1849.
- Wentworth, N., Graham, C. R., & Tripp, T. (2008). Development of teaching and technology integration: Focus on pedagogy. *Computers in the Schools*, 25(1/2), 64–80.
- Wentworth, N., Waddoups, G. L., & Earle, R. (2004). Technology integration into a teacher education program. *Computers in the Schools*, 21(1/2), 1–14.
- Wisdom, J. P., White, N., Goldsmith, K., Bielavitz, S., Rees, A., & Davis, C. (2007). Systems limitations hamper integration of accessible information technology in Northwest U.S. K–12 schools. *Educational Technology & Society*, 10(3), 222–232.
- Wood, D. (2007). Teachers' learning communities: Catalyst for change or a new infrastructure for the status quo? *Teachers College Record*, 109(3), 699–739.
- Woody, K. (2006). Designs for learning with new technologies. *Peer Review*, 8(4), 23–25.
- Yamagata-Lynch, L. (2003). How a technology professional development program fits into teachers' work life. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 19, 591–607.
- Yasemin, G. L. (2007). Technology planning: A roadmap to successful technology integration in schools. *Computers and Education*, 49(4), 943–956.
- Zabala, J. S., & Carl, D. F. (2004). Introduction to the QIAT self-evaluation matrices. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 24(1), 39–49.
- Zhao, Y. (2001). What do they know: A comprehensive portrait of exemplary technology using teachers. *Journal of Computing in Teacher Education*, 17(2), 24–36.
- Zhao, Y., & Bryant, F. L. (2006). Can teacher technology integration training alone lead to high levels of technology integration? A qualitative look at teachers' technology integration after state mandated technology training. *Electronic Journal for the Integration of Technology in Education*, 5, 53–62. Retrieved from <http://ejite.isu.edu/Volume5/Zhao.pdf>

- Zhao, Y., Byers, J. L., Puge, K., & Sheldon, S. (2002). Conditions for classroom technology innovations. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3), 481–515.
- Zhao, Y., & Cziko, G. A. (2001). Teacher adoption of technology: A perceptual control-theory perspective. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 5–30.
- Zhao, Y., Frank, K. A., & Ellefson, N. C. (2006). Fostering meaningful teaching and learning with technology: Characteristics of effective professional development efforts. In R. Floden & E. Ashburn (Eds.), *Leadership for meaningful learning with technology*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Zhao, Y., Lai, C., & Zhang, G. M. (2010). Curriculum, digital resources and delivery. *International Encyclopedia of Education*, 3, 390–396.
- Zhao, Y., & Lei, J. (2009). New technology. In G. Sykes, B. Schneider & D. Plank (Eds.), *Handbook of Educational Policy Research* (pp. 671–693). New York: Routledge (Taylor and Francis).
- Zhao, Y., Pugh, K., Sheldon, S., & Byers, J. (2002). Conditions for classroom technology innovations. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3), 482–515.