



Bibliography

Research on the Use of Embedded Supports & Supported e-Text

- Aarnoutse, C. A. J., van den Bos, K. P., & Brand-Gruwel, S. (1998). Effects of listening comprehension training on listening and reading. *Journal of Special Education, 32*(2), 115–116.
- Atkinson, R. K. (2002). Optimizing learning from examples using animated pedagogical agents. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 94*(2), 416–427.
- Atkinson, R. K., Mayer, R. E., & Merrill, M. M. (2005). Fostering social agency in multimedia learning: Examining the impact of an animated agent's voice. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 30*(1), 117–139.
- Atkinson, R. K., Renkl, A., & Merrill, M. M. (2003). Transitioning from studying examples to solving problems: Effects of self-explanation prompts and fading worked-out steps. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(4), 774–783.
- Baker, T. A., & Torgeson, J. K. (1995). An evaluation of computer-assisted instruction in phonological awareness with below average readers. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 13*(1), 89–103.
- Bers, M. U., & Cassell, J. (1998). Interactive storytelling systems for children: Using technology to explore language and identity. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 9*(2), 183–215.
- Blok, H., Oostdam, R., Otter, M. E., & Overmaat, M. (2002). Computer-assisted instruction in support of beginning reading instruction: A review. *Review of Educational Research, 72*(1), 101–130.
- Boyle, E. A., Rosenberg, M. S., Connelly, V. J., Washburn, S. G., Brinckerhoff, L. C., & Banerjee, M. (2003). Effects of audio texts on the acquisition of secondary-level content by students with mild disabilities. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 26*(3), 203–215.
- Carlisle, J. F., & Felbinger, L. (1991). Profiles of listening and reading comprehension. *Journal of Educational Research, 84*(6), 345–354.
- Chambers, B., Cheung, A., Madden, N., Slavin, R. E., & Gifford, R. (2006). Achievement effects of embedded multimedia in a success for all reading program. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 98*(1), 232–237.
- Chi, M. T. H., & Bassok, M. (1989). Learning from examples via self-explanation. In L. Resnick (Ed.), *Knowing, learning and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser* (pp. 251–282). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Conlon, T. (2006). Formative assessment of classroom concept maps: The reasonable fallible analyser. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 17*(1), 15–36.
- Craig, S. D., Gholson, B., & Driscoll, D. M. (2002). Animated pedagogical agents in multimedia educational environments: Effects of agent properties, picture features, and redundancy. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 94*(2), 428–434.

- Dalton, B., Pisha, B., Coyne, P., Eagleton, M., & Deysher, S. (2002). *Engaging the text: Reciprocal teaching and questioning strategies in a scaffolded learning environment*. Final report to the U.S. Department of Education. Peabody, MA: CAST.
- D'Angiulli, A., Kennedy, J. M., & Heller, M. A. (1998). Blind children recognizing tactile pictures respond like sighted children given guidance in exploration. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 39*(3), 187–190.
- De Jong, M. T., & Bus, A. G. (2004). The efficacy of electronic books in fostering kindergarten children's emergent story understanding. *Reading Research Quarterly, 39*(4), 378–393.
- De Simone, C., Schmid, R. F., & McEwen, L. A. (2001). Supporting the learning process with collaborative concept mapping using computer-based communication tools and processes. *Educational Research and Evaluation, 7*(2/3), 263–283.
- Dillon, A., & Gabbard, R. (1998). Hypermedia as an educational technology: A review of the quantitative research literature on learner comprehension, control, and style. *Review of Educational Research, 68*(3), 332–349.
- Dolan, R. P., Hall, T. E., Banerjee, M., Chun, E., & Strangman, N. (2005). Applying principles of universal design to test delivery: The effect of computer-based read aloud on test performance of high school students with learning disabilities. *The Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment, 3*, 7.
- Dole, J. A., Brown, K. J., & Trathen, W. (1996). The effects of strategy instruction on the comprehension performance of at-risk students. *Reading Research Quarterly, 31*(1), 62–77.
- Fastig, R. B., & Halaas Lyster, S. A. (2005). The effects of computer technology in assisting the development of literacy in young struggling readers and spellers. *European Journal of Special Needs Education, 20*(1), 21–40.
- Ferguson, I. (2001). *Building background knowledge to improve reading comprehension through use of technology*. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED454504.pdf>
- Freese, A. R. (1997). Reading rate and comprehension implications for designing computer technology to facilitate reading comprehension. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 10*(4), 311–319.
- Furnham, A., De Siena, S., & Gunter, B. (2002). Children's and adults' recall of children's news stories in both print and audio-visual presentation modalities. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 16*(2), 191–210.
- Gambrell, L. B., & Bales, R. (1986). Mental imagery and the comprehension-monitoring performance of fourth and fifth grade poor readers. *Reading Research Quarterly, 21*(4), 454–464.
- Graesser, A. C., McNamara, D. S., & Van Lehn, K. (2005). Scaffolding deep comprehension strategies through Point&Query, AutoTutor, and iSTART. *Educational Psychologist, 40*(4), 225–234.
- Hecker, L., Burns, L., Katz, L., Elkind, J., & Elkind, K. (2002). Benefits of assistive reading software for students with attention disorders. *Annals of Dyslexia, 52*(1), 243–272.

- Heimann, M., Nelson, K. E., Tjus, T., & Gillberg, C. (1995). Increasing reading and communication skills in children with autism through an interactive multimedia computer program. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 25(5), 459–480.
- Higgins, E. L., & Raskind, M. H. (2005). The compensatory effectiveness of the Quicktionary Reading Pen II on the reading comprehension of students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 20(1), 29–38.
- Higgins, K., Boone, R., & Lovitt, T. (1996). Hypertext support for remedial students and students with disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 29(4), 402–412.
- Horney, M., Anderson-Inman, L., & Der-Thang, C. (1995). *Project LITERACY-HI: Hypermedia for readers with hearing impairments*. Paper presented at the National Reading Conference, San Diego, CA.
- Idol-Maestas, L. (1985). Getting ready to read: Guided probing for poor comprehenders. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 8, 243–254.
- John, D., & Boucouvalas, A. (2002). User performance with audio: The effect of subjects' cognitive styles. *Educational Psychology*, 22(2), 133–147.
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2000). Incorporating learner experience into the design of multimedia instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92(1), 126–136.
- Kaminski, R. A., & Good, R. H., III. (1996). Toward a technology for assessing basic early literacy skills. *School Psychology Review*, 25(2), 215–227.
- Leahy, W., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2003). When auditory presentations should and should not be a component of multimedia instruction. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 17(4), 401–418.
- Lee, Y., & Nelson, D. W. (2005). Viewing or visualising: Which concept map strategy works best on problem-solving performance? *British Journal of Education Technology*, 36(2), 193–203.
- Lewandowski, L., Begeny, J., & Rogers, C. (2006). Word-recognition training: Computer versus tutor. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 22(4), 395–410.
- Lin, C., & Dwyer, F. (2004). Effect of varied animated enhancement strategies in facilitating achievement of different educational objectives. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 31(2), 185–198.
- Liu, M., & Bera, S. (2005). An analysis of cognitive tool use patterns in a hypermedia learning environment. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 53(1), 5–21.
- Lu, M. (2008). Effectiveness of vocabulary learning via mobile phone. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 24(6), 515–525.
- Lusk, M. M., & Atkinson, R. K. (2007). Animated pedagogical agents: Does their degree of embodiment impact learning from static or animated worked examples? *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 21(6), 747–764.
- Lynch, L., Fawcett, A. J., & Nicolson, R. I. (2000). Computer-assisted reading intervention in a secondary school: An evaluation study. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(4), 333–348.

- MacArthur, C. A., Ferretti, R. P., Okolo, C. M., & Cavalier, A. R. (2001). Technology applications for students with literacy problems: A critical review. *The Elementary School Journal, 101*(3), 273–301.
- MacArthur, C. A., & Haynes, J. B. (1995). Student assistant for learning from text (SALT): A hypermedia reading aid. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 28*(3), 150–159.
- Maccini, P., Gagnon, J. C., & Hughes, C. A. (2002). Technology-based practices for secondary students with learning disabilities. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 25*(4), 247–261.
- Magliano, J. P., & Millis, K. K. (2003). Assessing reading skill with a think-aloud procedure and latent semantic analysis. *Cognition and Instruction, 21*(3), 251–283.
- Magliano, J. P., Todaro, S., Millis, K., Wiemer-Hastings, K., Kim, H. J., & McNamara, D. S. (2005). Changes in reading strategies as a function of reading training: A comparison of live and computerized training. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 32*(2), 185–208.
- Mathes, P. G., Torgesen, J. K., & Allor, J. H. (2001). The effects of peer-assisted literacy strategies for first-grade readers with and without additional computer-assisted instruction in phonological awareness. *American Educational Research Journal, 38*(2), 371–410.
- Mavers, D., Somekh, B., & Restorick, J. (2002). Interpreting the externalized images of pupils' conceptions of ICT: Methods for the analysis of concept maps. *Computers in Education, 38*, 187–207.
- Mayer, R. E. (2003). The promise of multimedia learning: Using the same instructional design methods across different media. *Learning & Instruction, 13*(2), 125–139.
- McNabb, M. (1998). Using electronic books to enhance the reading comprehension of struggling readers. *National Reading Conference Yearbook, 47*, 405–414.
- McNamara, D. S., Levinstein, I. B., & Boonthum, C. (2004). iSTART: Interactive strategy training for active reading and thinking. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 36*(2), 222–233.
- McNamara, D. S., O'Reilly, T. P., Best, R. M., & Ozuru, Y. (2006). Improving adolescent students' reading comprehension with iSTART. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 34*(2), 147–171.
- McNeill, K. L., Lizotte, D. J., Krajcik, J., & Marx, R. W. (2006). Supporting students' construction of scientific explanations by fading scaffolds in instructional materials. *Journal of the Sciences, 15*(2), 153–181.
- Mioduser, D., Tur-Kaspa, H., & Leitner, I. (2000). The learning value of computer-based instruction of early reading skills. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 16*(1), 54–63.
- Montali, J., & Lewandowski, L. (1996). Bimodal reading: Benefits of a talking computer for average and less skilled readers. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 29*(3), 271–279.
- Moreno, R., & Flowerday, T. (2006). Students' choice of animated pedagogical agents in science learning: A test of the similarity-attraction hypothesis on gender and ethnicity. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 31*(2), 186–207.

- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E. (1999). Cognitive principles of multimedia learning: The role of modality and contiguity. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 91*(2), 358–368.
- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E. (2002). Learning science in virtual reality multimedia environments: Role of methods and media. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 94*(3), 598–610.
- Moreno, R., Mayer, R. E., Spires, H. A., & Lester, J. C. (2001). The case for social agency in computer-based teaching: Do students learn more deeply when they interact with animated pedagogical agents? *Cognition and Instruction, 19*(2), 177–213.
- Mostow, J., Aist, G., Burkhead, P., Corbett, A., Cuneo, A., Eitelman, S., et al. (2003). Evaluation of an automated reading tutor that listens: Comparison to human tutoring and classroom instruction. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 29*(1), 61–117.
- Mousavi, S. L. Y., Low, R., & Sweller, J. (1995). Reducing cognitive load by mixing auditory and visual presentation modes. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 87*(2), 319–334.
- Oakley, G. (2003). Improving oral reading fluency (and comprehension) through the creation of talking books. *Reading Online, 6*(7), 1–26.
- Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. *Cognition & Instruction, 1*(1), 117–175.
- Pezdek, K., & Hartman, E. F. (1983). Children's television viewing: Attention and comprehension of auditory versus visual information. *Child Development, 54*(4), 1015–1023.
- Piety, P. J. (2004). The language system of audio description: An investigation as a discursive process. *Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness, 98*(8), 453–469.
- Prendinger, H., Ma, C., & Ishizuka, M. (2007). Eye movements as indices for the utility of life-like interface agents: A pilot study. *Interacting With Computers, 19*(2), 281–292.
- Quinlan, T. (2004). Speech recognition technology and students with writing difficulties. *Improving Fluency, 96*(2), 337–346.
- Reinking, D., & Schreiner, R. (1985). The effects of computer-mediated text on measures of reading comprehension and reading behavior. *Reading Research Quarterly, 20*(5), 536–552.
- Reitsma, P. (1988). Reading practice for beginners: Effects of guided reading, reading-while-listening, and independent reading with computer-based speech feedback. *Reading Research Quarterly, 23*(2), 219–235.
- Robinson, D. H., Corliss, S. B., Bush, A. M., Bera, S. J., & Tomberlin, T. (2003). Optimal presentation of graphic organizers and text: A case for large bites? *Educational Technology Research and Development, 51*(4), 25–41.
- Roschelle, J. M., Pea, R. D., Hoadley, C. M., Gordin, D. N., & Means, B. M. (2000). Changing how and what children learn in school with computer-based technologies. *The Future of Children, 10*(2), 76–101.
- Russell, M., Bebell, D., Cowan, J., & Corbelli, M. (2003). An AlphaSmart for each student: Does teaching and learning change with full access to word processors? *Computers and Composition, 20*(1), 51–76.

- Ryokai, K., Vaucelle, C., & Cassell, J. (2003). Virtual peers as partners in storytelling and literacy learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 19*(2), 195–208.
- Scrase, R. (1998). An evaluation of a multi-sensory speaking-computer based system (Starcross-IDL) designed to teach the literacy skills of reading and spelling. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 29*(3), 211–224.
- Sinatra, G. (1990). Convergence of listening and reading processing. *Reading Research Quarterly, 25*(2), 115–130.
- Singleton, C., & Simmons, F. (2001). An evaluation of Wordshark in the classroom. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 32*(3), 317–330.
- Somekh, B., & Mavers, D. (2003). Mapping learning potential: Students' conceptions of ICT in their world. *Assessment in Education, 10*(3), 409–420.
- Sumner, T., Ahmad, F., Bhushan, S., Gu, Q., Molina, F., Willard, S., et al. (2005). Linking learning goals and educational resources through interactive concept map visualizations. *International Journal on Digital Libraries, 5*, 18–24.
- Tabbers, H. K., Martens, R. L., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2004). Multimedia instructions and cognitive load theory: Effects of modality and cueing. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 74*(1), 71–81.
- Topping, K. J., & Fisher, A. M. (2003). Computerised formative assessment of reading comprehension: Field trials in the UK. *Journal of Research in Reading, 26*(3), 267–279.
- Trushell, J., Maitland, A., & Burrell, C. (2003). Pupils' recall of an interactive storybook on CD-ROM: Inconsiderate interactive features and forgetting. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 19*(1), 80–89.
- Upitis, R. (1998). From hackers to luddites, game players to game creators: Profiles of adolescent students using technology. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 30*(3), 293–318.
- U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences. (2006). *DaisyQuest for preschool children*. What Works Clearinghouse Intervention Report. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/interventionreport.aspx?sid=130>
- Van Daal, V. H. P., & Reitsma, P. (2000). Computer-assisted learning to read and spell: Results from two pilot studies. *Journal of Research in Reading, 23*(2), 181–193.
- Wang, C. X., & Dwyer, F. M. (2003). Effects of three concept mapping strategies and prior knowledge in a Web-based learning environment. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 32*(4), 377–397.